Digitoxin and its synthetic analog MonoD have potent antiproliferative effects on lung cancer cells and potenti ate the effects of hydroxyurea and paclitaxel
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Abstract. Despite significant advances in the understanding of lung cancer biology, the prognosis of cancer patients remains poor. Part of the failure of anticancer therapy is due to intratumoral heterogeneity in these patients that limits the efficacy of single agents. Therefore, there is an urgent need for new anticancer drugs or drug combination regimens that possess increased activity against all cellular subtypes found within the tumor. In this study, we evaluated the in vitro antiproliferative activity of the cardiac glycosides (CGs) digitoxin and its synthetic analog MonoD on H460 lung cancer cells grown under different culture conditions. The CGs were tested alone in H460 cells under routine culture as well as in cells growing under short (24-72 h) and prolonged serum starvation (7 days) in order to evaluate the activity of drugs on cancer cells under varied degrees of proliferation. Our results showed that both CGs, and MonoD in particular, have potent antiproliferative activity at clinically relevant concentrations against cells in all the tested culture conditions. In contrast, paclitaxel, hydroxyurea and colchicine were only active in cells growing in routine culture conditions, and relatively inactive in serum-starved conditions. Importantly, both CGs were able to potentiate the effect of clinically relevant concentrations of hydroxyurea or paclitaxel in serum-starved conditions. When paclitaxel was used in combination with CGs, the highest antiproliferative effect was obtained when paclitaxel was administered first, followed by either digitoxin or MonoD. Our results indicate that CGs have potential clinical applications in translational oncology especially in combination with other drugs, and warrants further investigation of CGs in more advanced preclinical models of lung cancer.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths among both men and women, with an estimated 228,190 cases of lung cancer in the United States and 159,480 deaths from the disease in 2013 (1). The majority of patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage when curative treatment options are limited (2). The overall five-year survival rate is only 16% (3), and the prognosis has remained unchanged for the last three decades.

Digitoxin (Dig) is an FDA approved drug for the treatment of cardiac disease. The therapeutic plasma levels of digitoxin is considered to be in the ranges of 13-33 nM (4,5) and up to 46 nM (6). Dig at micromolar concentrations inhibits the Na/K-ATPase, but it is believed that at nanomolar concentrations, it activates the Na’/K+-ATPase signalosome to transmit intracellular signals, leading to anticancer effects (7). Paclitaxel (PX) remains a first-line treatment for advanced NSCLC in the United States (8). PX at therapeutic concentrations acts as a microtubule stabilizer, inducing cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase; its dose-limiting toxicities are neutropenia and peripheral neuropathy (9). Resistance to PX is associated with expression of multidrug resistance efflux pumps and tumor hypoxia (10). In patients, relevant plasma concentrations of PX are between 80-280 nM (11), but peak concentration after intravenous infusion can reach 10 µM (12). In the case of hydroxyurea (HU), plasma levels of 1 mM can be achieved and maintained in patients (13). These concentrations are high enough to inhibit in vitro the proliferation of lung cancer cells, but as single drugs neither PX nor HU have been successful.

Lung cancers display intratumoral heterogeneity (14,15). It is known that complex crosstalk exists between cancer cells and the stromal microenvironment via the secretion of a variety of growth factors (3). Other environmental factors such as hypoxia, blood flow, pH have profound effects on this interaction and contribute to the intratumoral heterogeneity of lung cancer. Different tumor microenvironments are characterized by different cell populations with varying rates of...
proliferation and varying degrees of selective pressures such as oxygen, acidity, and tumor growth factors (16). Therefore, in addition to testing anticancer drugs growing under routine culture conditions [media supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS)] studying the effect of these compounds in serum starved cells that in part mimic the behaviour of low proliferating cells, such as cancer stem-like cells, may offer additional information on the chemosensitivity of cancers in general. In this study we characterized the anticancer activity of clinically relevant concentrations of Dig and its synthetic analog MonoD on H460 lung cancer cells growing under different culture conditions. We also evaluated the effect of these cardiac glycosides (CGs) in combination with clinically relevant concentrations of paclitaxel and hydroxyurea.

Materials and methods

Drugs. Dig and MonoD (β-D-digitoxose) were stored as stock solution (10 mM) in DMSO in glass containers. Dig was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). MonoD was synthesized using a methodology previously described (17). Final dilutions were freshly prepared in culture media before use. All control treatments were supplemented with the highest concentration (~0.001%) of DMSO used in drug treatment. HU and colchicine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. HU and colchicine were prepared as stock solution (500 and 10 mM, respectively) in distilled sterile water and stored in aliquots at -20˚C. PX was prepared as stock solution of 1 mM in DMSO and stored in aliquots at -20˚C.

Cell culture. The human lung epithelial cancer cell line NCI-H460 was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). This cell line is considered highly resistant to chemotherapy (1). NCI-H460 cells were cultured in complete media (CM, RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin) (18). All cells were cultured in a 5% CO₂ environment at 37˚C.

Short-term antiproliferative effect of Dig and MonoD (MTT assay). Cells (~2,000 cells/well) were plated in 96-well cell-culture microplates (Costar, USA) and incubated overnight in cell culture medium to allow them to adhere. Cells were then exposed to the appropriate concentration of drug or vehicle for 24-72 h. Depending on the culture conditions, drugs were added in either CM or serum-free media (SFM, same as CM but without FBS). Cell viability was evaluated by the MTT (Sigma-Aldrich) assay. The absorbance of solubilized formazan was read at 570 nm using Gen 5 2.0 All-In-One microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments Inc.). In all cases, the highest concentration of DMSO was used in the control and this concentration was maintained at or below 0.001% (v/v). This DMSO concentration did not show any significant antiproliferative effect on the cell line in a short-term assay.

Colonies were incubated with complete media for 7-10 days (media was changed every 72 h). Then cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 15 min, stained with 0.01% crystal violet and photographed. Colonies were counted using ImageJ software (ImageJ v.1.48, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Statistic analysis. The drug concentrations inhibiting cell growth by 50% (IC₅₀) were determined by interpolation from the dose-response curves using a sigmoidal logistic 3 parameters equation. Curve fitting was performed with SigmaPlot (v.11.0) software. Each point represents the mean ± standard error (SE) of triplicate or quadruplicate wells (see figures for details). Comparison between groups has been done by ANOVA.

Combination index assay. The combination index (CI) was calculated to investigate the combined effect of Dig or MonoD and PX. The CI was calculated using the following formula (6):

\[
CI = \frac{IC_{50}(CG + PX) + IC_{50}(PX + CG) - IC_{50}(CG alone) - IC_{50}(PX alone)}{IC_{50}(CG + PX) - IC_{50}(CG alone) + IC_{50}(PX + CG) - IC_{50}(PX alone)}
\]

where CG = Dig or MonoD and PX = paclitaxel. CI >1 was defined as an antagonistic effect, CI =1 as an additive effect, and CI <1 as a synergistic effect (20).

Results

Serum potentiates the antiproliferative effect of Dig but not of MonoD. Cells were seeded at 2,000 cells/well and allowed to adhere overnight, and treated with increasing doses of Dig or MonoD (0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 or 200 nM) in CM for 24, 48 or 72 h. Cell viability was determined using MTT assay. Fig. 1 shows that cells incubated with Dig in SFM were less sensitive (IC₅₀ at 24 h, 91.3±11.4) to this drug when compared to cells incubated in CM (IC₅₀ at 24 h, 29.4±2.1). The effect was maximal after 24 h and observed for up to 72 h. In contrast, cells treated with MonoD showed similar sensitivity to this drugs in the absence (IC₅₀ at 24 h, 29.4±2.1) or in the presence (IC₅₀ at 24 h, 37.3±4.5) of 5% FBS. Table I shows the IC₅₀ for 24, 48 and 72 h and clearly indicates that the potency of Dig but not MonoD are potentiated when incubated in CM.

Short-term serum starvation attenuates the antiproliferative effects of both Dig and MonoD. Cells seeded at 2,000 cells/well were allowed to adhere overnight and later starved for 24 h in SFM. This procedure is widely used to synchronize and reversibly arrest cells at the G_/G₀ transition of the cell cycle (21,22). After starvation, cells were treated with Dig or MonoD (0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 or 200 nM) in either SFM or CM for 24, 48 or 72 h. Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay. Fig. 2 shows that serum starvation for 24 h attenuated the effect of both Dig and MonoD, suggesting that slow proliferating cells are less sensitive to both drugs. Table II shows the IC₅₀ for 24, 48 and 72 h following 24 h of serum starvation.

Hydroxyurea pretreatment does not affect the antiproliferative effect of Dig and MonoD. The differential effect of serum on the antiproliferative activity of Dig and MonoD and the effect of serum starvation on their activity prompted us to investigate whether CGs can be more effective in combination with drugs that act at specific phases of the cell cycle. We first evaluated the effect of Dig and MonoD in combination
Figure 1. Serum potentiates the antiproliferative effect of digitoxin and MonoD. H460 cells were incubated in serum-free media or media + 5% FbS with 0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 or 200 nM digitoxin or MonoD for 24, 48 or 72 h. Cell viability was assessed by the MTT assay after drug exposure for 24, 48 or 72 h. The table shows the IC\textsubscript{50} ± ES for each drug. Results are representative of two experiments performed by quadruplicates.

### Table I. IC\textsubscript{50} for digitoxin and MonoD at 24, 48 or 72 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SFM 5% FbS</th>
<th>SFM 5% FbS</th>
<th>SFM 5% FbS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H460 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitoxin</td>
<td>91.3±11.4</td>
<td>29.4±2.1</td>
<td>49.2±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonoD</td>
<td>49.1±11.2</td>
<td>37.3±4.5</td>
<td>24.9±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FbS, fetal bovine serum; SFM, serum-free media.

Table II. IC\textsubscript{50} for digitoxin and MonoD at 24, 48 or 72 h after 24 h serum starvation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SFM 5% FbS</th>
<th>SFM 5% FbS</th>
<th>SFM 5% FbS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H460 cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitoxin</td>
<td>100.41±28.3</td>
<td>41.90±3.0</td>
<td>47.32±9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonoD</td>
<td>88.78±13.4</td>
<td>37.99±3.9</td>
<td>46.27±7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FbS, fetal bovine serum; SFM, serum-free media; SE, standard error.

Figure 2. Short periods of serum starvation slightly attenuates the antiproliferative effect of digitoxin and MonoD. H460 cells were serum starved overnight and then incubated in serum-free media or media + 5% FbS with 0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 or 200 nM digitoxin or MonoD for 24, 48 or 72 h. Cell viability was assessed by the MTT assay after drug exposure for 24, 48 or 72 h. The table shows the IC\textsubscript{50} ± ES for each drug. Results are representative of two experiments performed by quadruplicates.
with varying concentrations of HU. Dig and MonoD at 20 nM were chosen since this concentration is close to their IC$_{50}$. Cells were treated with HU (0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1 or 2 mM) in CM for 72 h in the presence or absence of 20 nM Dig or MonoD. Fig. 3A shows that both Dig and MonoD slightly increase the antiproliferative effect of HU when both drugs were added simultaneously. The IC$_{50}$ for HU alone, HU + Dig and HU + MonoD 20 nM were 0.56±0.02, 0.28±0.02 and 0.27±0.01 mM, respectively.

We next investigated the effect of pretreatment with HU (2 mM) on the antiproliferative effect of Dig and MonoD. It is well established that HU-treated cells accumulate in early S phase due to a dose-dependent inhibiting effect of HU on DNA synthesis (23). Upon release from the block, cells synchronously progress through S, G$_2$ and M phases of the cell cycle (24). Cells seeded at 2,000 cells/well were allowed to adhere overnight and later incubated for 24 h in CM in the presence of 2 mM HU, which inhibited proliferation by ~80-90% (Fig. 3A). The arrested/surviving cells were treated with Dig or MonoD (0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 or 200 nM) in CM for 72 h. Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay. Parallel cultures with HU-untreated cells were used for comparison. Fig. 3B shows that Dig and MonoD treatment for 72 h decreased the proliferation of HU-pretreated cells with a similar potency compared to HU-untreated cells. Overall, the data show that pretreatment with HU does not significantly affect the antiproliferative effect of Dig and MonoD, but suggests that CGs can still induce cytotoxicity in cells that survived HU treatment, thereby positing a potential role for CGs when added after HU.

Long-term serum starvation attenuates the antiproliferative effect of paclitaxel, colchicine, hydroxyurea and digitoxin but not MonoD. It is known that lung cancer cells can grow in serum-free media for prolonged period (25); however, the chemosensitivity of cells growing under this condition is poorly characterized. We first evaluated the antiproliferative effects of PX and colchicine on H460 cells in CM. Fig. 4A shows that H460 cells were highly sensitive to PX and colchicine (IC$_{50}$, 8.9 nM and 1.8 µM, respectively). Next we evaluated the effect of PX, colchicine, HU, Dig and MonoD on H460 cells serum-starved for prolonged periods: cells seeded at 2,000 cells/well were allowed to adhere overnight and later starved for 7-8 days in SFM. It is important to note that H460 cells grew in serum-free conditions at reduced proliferation rates (data not shown). After starvation, cells were treated

---

Table III. IC$_{50}$ for paclitaxel, colchicine (routine culture), digitoxin and MonoD (prolonged serum starvation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H460 cells</th>
<th>48 h (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>72 h (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel (nM)</td>
<td>8.9±0.7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchicine (µM)</td>
<td>0.18±0.02</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dig (nM)</td>
<td>82.3±7.26</td>
<td>88.6±8.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonoD (nM)</td>
<td>21.09±2.61</td>
<td>19.15±1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined; SD, standard deviation; Dig, digitoxin.

---

Table IV. IC$_{50}$ for paclitaxel, paclitaxel + digitoxin and paclitaxel + MonoD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H460 cells</th>
<th>48 h (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>72 h (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>11.82±1.20</td>
<td>10.22±0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel + digitoxin (20 nM)</td>
<td>3.02±0.42</td>
<td>2.71±0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel + MonoD (20 nM)</td>
<td>3.39±0.48</td>
<td>2.04±0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation.
with Dig or MonoD (0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 or 200 nM) in SFM for 24, 48 or 72 h. Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay. As shown in Fig. 4, following prolonged serum starvation, H460 cells became insensitive to PX and colchicine (Fig. 4b), less sensitive to HU and Dig but remain highly sensitive to MonoD (compare activity with Figs. 1-3). Table III shows the IC$_{50}$ for paclitaxel, and colchicine in cells growing under routine culture conditions and for digitoxin and MonoD in cells growing under prolonged serum starvation. Table IV shows the IC$_{50}$ of paclitaxel, paclitaxel + digitoxin and paclitaxel + MonoD.

**Dig and MonoD synergize with paclitaxel on H460 cancer cells.** PX exerts cytotoxic effects against H460 cells with IC$_{50}$ ranging from 5 nM (20) to 25 nM (26). However, 24 h treatment with PX can induce a cell cycle arrest at the G$_{2}$/M transition and this effect was observed to be maximum at a concentration that is ~10 times higher that its IC$_{50}$ (27).

Cells seeded at 2,000 cells/well were allowed to adhere overnight and treated with PX (0, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 25 or 50 nM) in the absence (vehicle alone, DMSO) or presence of Dig or MonoD (20 nM) in CM for 48 or 72 h. Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay. The co-treatment showed increased antiproliferative activity compared to PX alone (Fig. 5) and the effect was found to be synergistic. Synergism was confirmed by the CI that was calculated using the IC$_{50}$ from dose responses curves for Dig alone or MonoD alone (Fig. 1), PX alone and PX co-treated with either Dig or MonoD (Fig. 5).

The CI values were 0.404 and 0.403 for PX + Dig and PX + MonoD, respectively. The enhanced effect due to the co-treatment was also observed by the colony-forming assay. H460 cells were plated in 6-well plates at 200 cells/well and allowed to adhere overnight. Cells were then treated with drugs alone (PX 10 nM, Dig 20 nM or MonoD 20 nM) or combined (PX 10 nM + Dig 20 nM or PX 10 nM + MonoD 20 nM) for 72 h. Cells treated with DMSO alone were included as control and equivalent DMSO concentrations were included in all treatment (~0.001%). Following drug treatment, cells were incubated in complete media for 10 days (media was changed every 3 days) and colonies were stained and quantified as described in the Materials and methods section. Fig. 5b shows that co-treatment with either PX 10 nM + Dig 20 nM or PX 10 nM + MonoD 20 nM has enhanced antiproliferative activity when compared to drugs alone.

**Effect of sequential treatment of lung cancer cells in single or multi-drug modalities has alternative effects.** PX combination treatments by other groups have demonstrated that sequential regimens can have additive, synergistic or antagonistic effects on cancer cell lines depending on the order in which the drugs are administered (20,28-30). Two types of sequential treatments were performed. In the first protocol (single drug → co-treatment) cells were treated with a single drug (PX, Dig or MonoD) for 24 h, followed by PX + Dig or PX + MonoD (PX → PX + Dig, PX → PX + MD, respectively) for 48 h. Cell viability was assessed using the MTT assay.
These regimens were compared to single drugs alone incubated for the same period of time. To eliminate any potential effect of media consumption and/or drug inactivation during the first 24 h, all media and drugs were freshly prepared and added at 24 h. The same procedure with the reverse sequence was also performed (Dig → PX + Dig) (Fig. 6A and B). In the second protocol (single drug → single drug), cells were treated with a single drug (PX, Dig or MonoD) for 24 h followed by Dig or MonoD (PX → Dig, PX → MD, respectively) for 48 h. The same procedure with the reverse sequence was also performed (Dig → PX) (Fig. 6C and D). Overall the results indicated that enhanced antiproliferative activity was obtained when PX was added first.

Discussion

Combination chemotherapy with drugs that show enhanced antitumor efficacy is considered a promising approach to improve clinical success by decreasing single drug doses and minimizing or slowing drug resistance development (31). Drugs with different mechanisms of action, relative non-cross-resistance, and partially non-overlapping toxicities are considered good candidates (29).

In this study we first demonstrated that Dig and MonoD have, at clinically relevant concentrations, potent antiproliferative activity against the human H460 lung cancer cell line and that there is a serum-dependent effect on the antiproliferative activity of Dig but not MonoD (Fig. 1). Short periods of serum deprivation (24 h prior to the addition of drugs), a procedure known to increase the percentage of cells in the G_0/G_1 phase of the cell cycle, slightly decreased the effectiveness of both CGs (Fig. 2). The effect was more evident when cells were incubated with drugs in SFM for 24 h. After 72 h of drug exposure, there was no significant difference in the potency of Dig and MonoD when compared to cells not subjected to starvation for 24 h. It is important to mention that the IC_{50} of digitoxin for H460 cells subjected to short periods of starvation was still within the therapeutic range (<46 nM) (Fig. 2). When Dig (20 nM) or MonoD (20 nM) were used alone for 72 h in complete media, it led to decreased cell survival.
viability by ~50% (Fig. 5A) in perfect agreement with their respective IC\textsubscript{50} previously shown in Fig. 1. However, when both CGs were used alone at the same concentration (each at 20 nM) in the colony-forming assay they showed no effect compared to DMSO alone. This paradoxical effect is not due to experimental variations and may be explained by assuming that Dig and MonoD at 20 nM have a reversible effect, which was confirmed subsequently (Fig. 6).

Both Dig and MonoD potentiated the effect of HU. HU at 0.75-1 mM in combination with Dig 20 nM or MonoD 20 nM decreased the viability of H460 cells by >80% (Fig. 3A). These are clinically relevant concentrations since a mean serum level of HU >1 mM can be achieved and maintained in patients (13). Pretreatment with HU 2 mM, a concentration that slow down and partially synchronize cells in S phase inhibited the proliferation of H460 cells by ~80% within 24 h (Fig. 3A). Cells that survived 2 mM HU for 24 h were sensitive to Dig or MonoD (Fig. 3B).

When grown in routine culture media supplemented with 5% FBS, H460 cells were very sensitive to HU (Fig. 3A), PX and colchicine (Fig. 4A). Prolonged serum deprivation (7 days) markedly decreased the antiproliferative activity of HU, PX and colchicine and decreased the effectiveness of Dig, but had no effect on MonoD (Fig. 4B). In fact, the antiproliferative effect of MonoD was high when the drugs were added in complete media (IC\textsubscript{50} ~20 nM at 72 h) (Fig. 1), low when cells were incubated in SFM for up to 96 h (IC\textsubscript{50} ~40 nM at 72 h) (Fig. 3), and again high when cells were incubated in SFM for 7 days (IC\textsubscript{50} ~20 nM at 72 h) (Fig. 4). The data suggest that MonoD exerts its antiproliferative action in a manner that is distinct from Dig, and that MonoD may potentially be a more suitable anti-neoplastic agent for the treatment of cancers that are not in the dividing phase and thus able to resist the effect of traditional chemotherapy including PX and HU.

The ability of CGs, especially MonoD, to kill cancer cells under different culture conditions compared to other drugs such as PX or colchicine is important since CGs may be less sensitive to intratumoral heterogeneity typically found in cancer tumors (16,32). In this context, MonoD by its ability to kill cancer cells in the presence or absence of serum (a rich source of growth factors) with similar potency (Figs. 1, 3 and 4) offers an additional advantage.

Co-treatment of cancer cells with Dig + PX has been explored in vitro in a few types of cancer. Studies in the
MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cell line showed a synergistic antiproliferative effect with Dig concentrations as low as 13 nM (6). On the other hand, in the human prostate cancer cells (PC-3), Dig reversed both G2/M arrest and induction of apoptosis by PX (33). Based on these studies, it is clear that the effects of PX-based combinations are cell type-dependent.

We explored the antiproliferative effects of PX + CGs combinations on H460 cells. We found that both Dig or MonoD in combination with PX have a synergistic effect (Fig. 5). In the present study we chose a fixed concentration of Dig (20 nM) or MonoD (20 nM) since these values are clinically relevant and close to their IC50 in serum containing media (Fig. 1).

PX in combination with other drugs showed antagonistic, additive or synergistic effect in a schedule-dependent manner (28-30). For this reason, we investigated sequential treatments (Fig. 6). Several important observations should be highlighted: i) maximal antiproliferative effect was obtained when PX (25 nM) was added as a first drug for 24 h followed by 20 nM of either Dig or MonoD (Fig. 6A). However, this effect was only slightly higher when compared to PX followed by only one CG (20 nM Dig or MonoD) (Fig. 6C). ii) The maximum antiproliferative effect obtained with PX 25-20 nM of either Dig or MonoD was only slightly higher when compared to PX 25 nM alone for 72 h (Fig. 6A). iii) The effect of 24 h treatment with the CGs were almost completely reversible, when cells treated for 24 h with either 20 nM Dig or MonoD were incubated for 48 h in drug free media (DMSO alone), the proliferative activity was similar to control values (Fig. 6D). In contrast, the effects of either 10 or 25 nM PX were irreversible: cells treated for 24 h with PX followed by 48 h in drug-free media (DMSO alone) demonstrated proliferative activity that was only slightly higher to cells treated with equivalent PX concentrations for 72 h (compare 10 and 20 nM PX alone in Fig. 6C vs. D). The irreversible effect of PX could be due to the intracellular accumulation of this drug that can reach concentration levels up to 9 µM, and is likely retained in tumor tissue for a substantial period of time (11). The intracellular uptake of PX may explain the increased antiproliferative activity of PX-based combinations when PX is added first.

Overall, the aforementioned observations are important since sequential treatment with drugs (PX → Dig or MonoD) will be likely less toxic for several reasons: i) the exposure time to PX, due to its irreversible effect can be shortened and, ii) the doses of both drugs can be reduced, limiting potential adverse effects. Despite the fact that the antiproliferative effects of Dig and MonoD as single drugs were partially reversible (Figs. 5 and 6), both drugs were able to significantly increase the antiproliferative effect of PX in the colony-forming assay (Fig. 5), and demonstrate that the combination of PX + CGs have long-term antiproliferative effects. Therefore PX-CGs, and especially PX in combination with MonoD have the potential to target wider subpopulations of cancer cells.

Due to intratumor heterogeneity, the poor antiproliferative activity of PX on serum starved cells as well as the reversible effect of Dig and MonoD may limit the in vivo efficacy of the drug combinations described above. Furthermore, lung cancer stem cells that are able to grow in serum-free media (with few additives such as FGF and EGF) are known to be resistant to PX (34). To circumvent these limitations, other PX analogs with enhanced antiproliferative activity to serum starved cells and cancer stem cells, and Dig analogs similar to MonoD but with irreversible effects can be screened for testing new combinations with higher efficacy toward cancer cells that are resistant to traditional chemotherapeutic regimens.

In conclusion, we reported that both Dig and MonoD have potent antiproliferative activity against the chemoresistant NSCLC NCI-H460 cell line. Our studies have demonstrated that both CGs potentiated the antiproliferative effects of HU and PX, two anticancer drugs with different mechanism of actions. We also showed that sequential administration of PX followed by either Dig or MonoD resulted in the most significant cytotoxic effects. The latter has implications for rational translation of chemotherapeutic regimens for the treatment of lung cancer. Finally, by testing the efficacy of anticancer drugs in cells growing under different culture conditions (short and prolonged serum starvation) it was possible to identify that MonoD, contrary to PX, colchicine or HU, has potent antiproliferative activity against cells growing under prolonged serum starvation conditions. These studies offer a new strategy to screen and develop drugs and combinations of drugs that have higher probabilities of success in clinical trials.
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